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Spontaneous emission through a coupled cavity can be a significant decay channel for qubits in circuit quantum electrodynamics. We present a circuit design that effectively eliminates spontaneous emission due to the Purcell effect while maintaining strong coupling to a low-\(Q\) cavity. Excellent agreement over a wide range in frequency is found between measured qubit relaxation times and the predictions of a circuit model. Using fast (nanosecond time-scale) flux biasing of the qubit, we demonstrate \textit{in situ} control of qubit lifetime over a factor of 50. We realize qubit reset with 99.9% fidelity in 120 ns. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3435463]

In circuit quantum electrodynamics (cQED), engineered artificial atoms used as quantum bits (qubits) interact strongly with the electromagnetic modes of a transmission-line microwave cavity.\(^1\) The large qubit–photon coupling affords capabilities such as coherent interactions of qubit and photon states,\(^2\)\(^3\) large coupling between spatially separated qubits mediated by the cavity bus,\(^2,12\)\(^3\)\(^4\) and nondestructive joint qubit readout.\(^4,5\) However, this strong coupling can also cause undesirable shortening of qubit lifetime \((T_1)\) due to radiative decay through the cavity.\(^6\) This effect, first described by Purcell,\(^7\) describes a quantized system coupled to photon states,\(^2\) large coupling between spatially separated qubits,\(^2\) leaving\(^1\) the coupled environment is\(^1\) strongly enhanced\(^7,8\) or suppressed\(^9–11\) compared with the decay rate to the electromagnetic continuum. In cQED, qubits are generally sufficiently detuned to have suppressed relaxation but \(T_1\) can still be limited by decay through the cavity. As qubit lifetime is of paramount importance in quantum computing,\(^12\)\(^12\) a means of further inhibiting radiative decay is desirable.

The Purcell decay rate can be significantly reduced\(^6\) by increasing either the cavity quality factor \(Q\) or the detuning between the qubit \((\omega_q)\) and cavity \((\omega_c)\) frequencies, \(\Delta = \omega_3 - \omega_c\), but these solutions have unwelcome implications of their own. For example, reducing the cavity decay rate \(\kappa = \omega_c/Q\) can diminish qubit readout fidelity\(^13\) because fewer signal photons are collected in a qubit lifetime. A large \(\kappa\) is also beneficial for resetting a qubit to its ground state by bringing it near to the cavity resonance and exploiting the Purcell-enhanced decay rate. Increasing \(\Delta\) similarly has adverse effects on readout fidelity and applications that exploit large state-dependent frequency shifts.\(^5,14,15\) A better solution would improve \(T_1\) independent of the cavity \(Q\), leaving its optimization up to other experimental concerns.

In this letter, we introduce a design element for cQED termed the “Purcell filter,” which protects a qubit from spontaneous emission while maintaining strong coupling to a low-\(Q\) cavity. We demonstrate an improvement of qubit \(T_1\) by up to a factor of 50 compared to predicted values for an unfiltered device with the same \(\kappa/2\pi = 20\) MHz. Combining the large dynamic range of almost two orders of magnitude in \(T_1\) with fast flux control, we then demonstrate fast qubit reset to 99% (99.9%) fidelity in 80 ns (120 ns).

The filter works by exploiting the fact that the qubit and cavity are typically far detuned. We can therefore modify the qubit’s electromagnetic environment (e.g., the density of photon states at \(\omega_q\)) without, in principle, affecting the cavity \(Q\) or resonant transmission. The relationship between qubit \(T_1\) due to spontaneous emission and admittance \(Y\) of the coupled environment is

\[
T_1^{\text{Purcell}} = \frac{C_q}{\text{Re}[Y(\omega_q)]}. \tag{1}
\]

where \(C_q\) is the qubit capacitance [Fig. 1(a)].\(^16,17\) Previous work\(^6\) has demonstrated that Eq. (1) accurately models the observed \(T_1^{\text{Purcell}}\) when all modes of the cavity are taken into account in the calculation of \(Y\). As the relationship holds for any admittance, this decay rate can be controlled by adjusting \(Y\) with conventional microwave engineering techniques. In particular, by manipulating \(Y\) to be purely reactive (imaginary-valued) at \(\omega_q\), \(T_1^{\text{Purcell}}\) diverges and the Purcell decay channel is turned off. This solution decouples the choice of cavity \(Q\) from the Purcell decay rate as desired, and, as we will see, has the advantage of using only conventional circuit elements placed in an experimentally convenient location.

We implement the Purcell filter with a transmission-line stub terminated in an open circuit placed outside the output capacitor \(C_{\text{out}}\) [Fig. 1(a)]. The length of this stub is set such that it acts as a \(\lambda/4\) impedance transformer to short out the 50 Ω environment at its resonance frequency \(\omega_t\). We choose \(C_{\text{in}}\) to be much larger than the input capacitor, \(C_{\text{in}} \approx C_{\text{out}}/15\), to ensure that the qubit would be overwhelmingly likely to decay through \(C_{\text{out}}\). The Purcell filter eliminates decay through this channel, leaving only the negligible decay rate through \(C_{\text{in}}\). The combined total capacitance \(C_{\text{tot}} = 80\) fF results in a small cavity \(Q\). We use two identical stubs above and below the major axis of the chip [Fig. 1(b)] to keep the design symmetric in an effort to suppress any undesired on-chip modes. The cavity resonates at \(\omega_q/2\pi = 8.04\) GHz, the filter at \(\omega_t/2\pi = 6.33\) GHz, and a flux bias
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device also exhibits a large dynamic range in transmission. The measured curves are also qualitatively similar, lending credence to the circuit-model prediction. This model contains only the fit parameter \( Q_{\text{NR}} \) as a function of frequency measured with two methods and comparison to various models. The first method is a static measurement (circles): the qubit is excited and measured after a wait time \( \tau \). The second (triangles) is a dynamic measurement: the qubit frequency is tuned with a fast flux pulse to an interrogation frequency, excited, and allowed to decay for \( \tau \), and then returned to its operating frequency of 5.16 GHz and measured. This method allows for accurate measurement even when \( T_1 \) is extremely short. Measurements using the two methods show near perfect overlap. The top dashed curve is the predicted \( T_1 \) while solid curve includes also nonradiative internal loss with best-fit \( Q_{\text{NR}} = 2\pi \tau_{\text{1}}^{\text{NR}} \approx 27,000 \). The two lower curves correspond to an unfiltered device with the same \( C_{\text{in}}, C_{\text{out}} \), and \( \omega_c \), with and without the internal loss. In this case, the Purcell filter gives a \( T_1 \) improvement by up to a factor of \( \sim 50 \) (6.7 GHz).

This range in \( T_1 \) can be a challenge to quantify because measurements made at small detunings, where \( T_1 \) is a few tens of nanoseconds, have a very low SNR. This issue was avoided through the use of fast flux control. For measurements at small \( \Delta \), the qubit is pulsed to the detuning under scrutiny, excited and allowed to decay, then pulsed to 5.16 GHz where measurement fidelity is higher, and interrogated. In the cases where the qubit is nearly in resonance with the cavity, the \( T_1 \) is actually so short that it constitutes an interesting resource.

The ability to reset, or quickly cool a qubit to its equilibrium state on demand, is an important capability with a diverse set of applications. Using a qubit to make repeated measurements of a coupled system, for example, requires resetting the qubit between interrogations. Similarly, experiment repetition rates can be greatly enhanced when they are otherwise limited by \( T_1 \). Fast reset is also vital for measurement-free quantum error correction. In this scheme, an error syndrome is encoded in two ancilla qubits and conditionally corrected using a three qubit gate. The ancillas, which now hold the entropy associated with the error, are then reset and reused. The Purcell filter is an ideal element with which to demonstrate reset as it allows for a relatively short reset time through the use of a low-\( Q \) cavity without limiting \( T_1 \) at the operating frequency.

The efficacy of reset in this device is readily quantified using a modified Rabi oscillation sequence, described in Fig. 3(a). Each experiment measures the degree to which the qubit is out of equilibrium after some reset time \( \tau \); the protocol is insensitive to any equilibrium thermal population of the qubit. The nonequilibrium population is found to exhibit pure exponential decay over three orders of magnitude. The qubit can be reset to 99.9% in 120 ns or any other fidelity depending on \( \tau \). The sequence is also performed with the qubit remaining in the operating frequency during the delay to demonstrate the large dynamic range in \( T_1 \) available in this system. In the case of multiqubit devices, it is possible that

![FIG. 1. (Color) Design, realization, and diagnostic transmission data of the Purcell filter. (a) Circuit model of the Purcell-filtered cavity design. The Purcell filter, implemented with twin \( \lambda/4 \) open-circuited transmission-line stubs, inhibits decay through \( C_{\text{out}} \) near its resonance \( \omega_c \). (b) Optical micrograph of the device with inset zoom on transmon qubit. Note the correspondence of the circuit elements directly above in (a). (c) Cavity transmission measured at 4.2 K and comparison to the circuit-model prediction. The Purcell filter shorts out the 50 \( \Omega \) output environment at \( \omega_c \), yielding a 30 dB drop in transmission (arrow). A circuit model involving only the parameters \( C_{\text{in}}, C_{\text{out}}, \omega_c \), and \( \omega_f \) shows excellent correspondence.](image-url)

![FIG. 2. (Color) Qubit \( T_1 \) as a function of frequency measured with two methods and comparison to various models. The first method is a static measurement (circles): the qubit is excited and measured after a wait time \( \tau \). The second (triangles) is a dynamic measurement: the qubit frequency is tuned with a fast flux pulse to an interrogation frequency, excited, and allowed to decay for \( \tau \), and then returned to its operating frequency of 5.16 GHz and measured. This method allows for accurate measurement even when \( T_1 \) is extremely short. Measurements using the two methods show near perfect overlap. The top dashed curve is the predicted \( T_1 \) while solid curve includes also nonradiative internal loss with best-fit \( Q_{\text{NR}} = 2\pi \tau_{\text{1}}^{\text{NR}} \approx 27,000 \). The two lower curves correspond to an unfiltered device with the same \( C_{\text{in}}, C_{\text{out}} \), and \( \omega_c \), with and without the internal loss. In this case, the Purcell filter gives a \( T_1 \) improvement by up to a factor of \( \sim 50 \) (6.7 GHz).](image-url)
this reset process would affect other qubits coupled to the same bus, but this issue could be avoided by using separate coupling and reset cavities.

The Purcell filter is an important design element for cQED which allows for the use of low-\(Q\) cavities without adversely affecting qubit \(T_1\). This ability is well-suited for \textit{in situ} qubit reset, a prerequisite for measurement-free quantum error correction and other applications. We have observed high fidelity qubit measurements using "both linear dispersive" and Jaynes–Cummings readouts\(^{25}\) in this device, and a quantitative comparison with an unfiltered device remains for future work.
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FIG. 3. (Color) Fast qubit reset. (a) Schematic of a pulse sequence used to realize a qubit reset and characterize its performance. The fidelity of reset was quantified using a modified Rabi oscillation scheme. The qubit is first rotated around the \(x\)-axis by an angle \(\theta\) at the operating frequency of 5.16 GHz and then pulsed into near resonance with the cavity (solid line) or left at the operating frequency (dashed line) for a time \(\tau\). The state of the qubit is measured as a function of \(\theta\) and \(\tau\) after being pulsed back to 5.16 GHz. Curves are fit to exponentials with decay constants of 16.9 ± 0.1 ns and 540 ± 20 ns, respectively. Insets: Measured Rabi oscillations for \(\tau = 0\) (lower left) and \(\tau = 80\) ns (top right). The vertical scales differ by a factor of 100.